



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,639	06/15/2001	Mark Mitchell Kornfein	RD-29,249	2225

6147 7590 03/21/2006

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
GLOBAL RESEARCH
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309

EXAMINER

DASS, HARISH T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3628

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/882,639	Applicant(s) KORNFEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Harish T. Dass	Art Unit 3628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/5/2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16, 18-24, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Limousin et al (hereinafter Limousin – US 6938242) in view of Higgins et al. (hereinafter Higgins – US 6,397,202).

Re. Claim 1, Limousin discloses develop and manage an installation plan for plurality of tasks (creating a plurality of issues) using a globally-accessible system [see entire document particularly, Abstract; Figures 1, 3-5, 9, 11; C1 L5-L56; C2 L10-36; C3 L65 to C4 L9; see task]; and

monitoring and tracking the plurality of issues (tasks) via the globally-accessible system using a plurality of color-coded (coded) visual displays graphically indicating favorable or unfavorable process progress over time [Figure 16; C3 L65 to C4 L9; C10 L31-L40 -- color-coded same as coded, the Gantt chart of figure 16 can have color attributes, for example, diamond in figure 16 represents completion date can have color green which is visible on screen or printed by color printer].

Limousin does not explicitly disclose project risks. However, Higgins discloses a method that is used to project a plurality of risk levels that may develop during the

Art Unit: 3628

course of a large development project [Figures 2-3; C L14-19, L56-L59; C2 L66 to C3 L10 (see problems=issues); C3 L62-L64; C6 L63 to C7 L27 (see rule-based module)] to generate risk level and composite risk levels to identify to evaluate the project and if necessary make decisions to mitigate risk or make changes to project. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Limousin and include project risk factors, as disclosed by Higgins, to provide a project development system which includes identifying and monitoring risks arising during development of a project.

Re. Claims 3-4, Limousin discloses wherein monitoring and tracking the plurality of issues using a plurality of color-coded visual displays further comprises graphically highlighting process management roadblocks (see permit approval) and wherein monitoring and tracking the plurality of issues using a plurality of color-coded visual displays further comprises graphically highlighting exception status (expected first use of the medical system) [Fig. 9-11; C9 L62 to C10 L40; C11 L1-L25 – see figure 11 includes list of tasks which can be edited and lists more item (#270)]. Limousin does not explicitly disclose project risks. However, Higgins discloses a method that is used to project a plurality of risk levels that may develop during the course of a large development project [Figures 2-3; C L14-19, L56-L59; C2 L66 to C3 L10 (see problems=issues); C3 L62-L64; C6 L63 to C7 L27 (see rule-based module)] to generate risk level and composite risk levels to identify to evaluate the project and if necessary make decisions to mitigate risk or make changes to project. It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3628

at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Limousin and include project risk factors, as disclosed by Higgins, to provide a project development system which includes identifying and monitoring risks arising during development of a project.

Re. Claims 5-7, Limousin discloses wherein the globally-accessible system comprises a globally-distributed computer network [Figure 1; C2 L41-L45; C2 L63-67; C3 L44-L47; C3 L65 to C4 L9; C5 L16-L33 – LAN and WAN are well known communication networks].

Re. Claim 8, Limousin discloses globally-accessible system (Internet) may be accessed by a plurality of remote users simultaneously [Figure 1; C5 L17-L33].

Re. Claim 9, Limousin discloses creating a plurality of issues using a globally-accessible system [Figures 1, 3-5, 9, 11C1 L5-L56; C2 L10-36; C3 L65 to C4 L9];

monitoring and tracking the plurality of issues via the globally-accessible system using a plurality of color-coded visual displays graphically indicating favorable or unfavorable process progress over time [Figure 16; C3 L65 to C4 L9; C10 L31-L40]; and

wherein the globally-accessible system (Internet) may be accessed by a plurality of remote users simultaneously [Figure 1; C5 L17-L33].

Limousin does not explicitly disclose project risks. However, Higgins discloses a method that is used to project a plurality of risk levels that may develop during the course of a large development project [Figures 2-3; C L14-19, L56-L59; C2 L66 to C3 L10 (see problems=issues); C3 L62-L64; C6 L63 to C7 L27 (see rule-based module)] to generate risk level and composite risk levels to identify to evaluate the project and if necessary make decisions to mitigate risk or make changes to project. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Limousin and include project risk factors, as disclosed by Higgins, to provide a project development system which includes identifying and monitoring risks arising during development of a project.

Re. Claims 11-12, claims 11-12 are substantially same as claim 3-4, therefore claims 11-12 are rejected with same rational as claims 3-4.

Re. Claims 13-15, claims 13-15 are substantially same as claim 5-7, therefore claims 13-15 are rejected with same rational as claims 5-7.

Re. Claim 16, Limousin discloses an issue management module (model installation plan) operable for creating, storing, and graphically displaying the status of a plurality of issues [C2 L10-L23; C6 L17-L49; C1 L5-L56; C2 L10-36; C3 L65 to C4 L9];

a module operable for creating, storing, and graphically displaying the status of a plurality of tasks; a plurality of color-coded visual displays for graphically displaying the

Art Unit: 3628

status of the plurality of issues and *risks*; a processor operable for manipulating information related to the plurality of issues [C2 L10-L23; C3 L65 to C4 L9; C10 L31-L40]; and

a communications network operable for communicating information related to the plurality of issues to and from a plurality of remote users [C2 L41-L45; C2 L63-67; C3 L44-L47; C3 L65 to C4 L9; C5 L16-L33].

Limousin does not explicitly disclose a *risk* management and *risks*. However, Higgins discloses a method that is used to project a plurality of risk levels that may develop during the course of a large development project [Figures 2-3; C L14-19, L56-L59; C2 L66 to C3 L10 (see problems=issues); C3 L62-L64; C6 L63 to C7 L27 (see rule-based module)] to generate risk level and composite risk levels to identify to evaluate the project and if necessary make decisions to mitigate risk or make changes to project. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Limousin and include project risk factors, as disclosed by Higgins, to provide a project development system which includes identifying and monitoring risks arising during development of a project.

Re. Claims 18-19, claims 18-19 are substantially same as claim 3-4, therefore claims 18-19 are rejected with same rational as claims 3-4.

Re. Claims 20-22, claims 20-22 are substantially same as claim 5-7, therefore claims 20-22 are rejected with same rational as claims 5-7.

Re. Claim 23, claim 23 is substantially same as claim 8, therefore claim 23 is rejected with same rational as claim 8.

Re. Claim 24, Limousin discloses an issue management module operable for creating, storing, and graphically displaying the status of a plurality of issues [C2 L10-L23; C6 L17-L49; C1 L5-L56; C2 L10-36; C3 L65 to C4 L9];

a module operable for creating, storing, and graphically displaying the status of a plurality of tasks; a plurality of color-coded visual displays for graphically displaying the status of the plurality of issues; a processor operable for manipulating information related to the plurality of issues [C2 L10-L23; C3 L65 to C4 L9; C10 L31-L40]; and

a communications network operable for communicating information related to the plurality of issues to and from a plurality of remote users simultaneously [C2 L41-L45; C2 L63-67; C3 L44-L47; C3 L65 to C4 L9; C5 L16-L33].

Limousin does not explicitly disclose a **risk** management and **risks**. However, Higgins discloses a method that is used to project a plurality of risk levels that may develop during the course of a large development project [Figures 2-3; C L14-19, L56-L59; C2 L66 to C3 L10 (see problems=issues); C3 L62-L64; C6 L63 to C7 L27 (see rule-based module)] to generate risk level and composite risk levels to identify to evaluate the project and if necessary make decisions to mitigate risk or make changes to project. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Limousin and include project

Art Unit: 3628

risk factors, as disclosed by Higgins, to provide a project development system which includes identifying and monitoring risks arising during development of a project.

Re. Claims 26-27, claims 26-27 are substantially same as claim 3-4, therefore claims 26-27 are rejected with same rational as claims 3-4.

Re. Claims 28-30, claims 28-30 are substantially same as claim 5-7, therefore claims 28-30 are rejected with same rational as claims 5-7.

Claims 2, 10, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Limousin and Higgins as applied to claims 1, 9, 16 and 24 above, and further in view of Martin et al, March 1987 "A Project Accountability Chart (PAC)", Journal of Systems Management (hereinafter Martin).

Re. Claim 2, Limousin discloses wherein monitoring and tracking the plurality of issues using a plurality of color-coded visual displays. Limousin or Higgins does not explicitly disclose graphically representing a **risk** probability number (RPN) and risks. However, Martin disclose this feature [see entire document, pages 6-9, particularly Exhibits, pages 6, page 7 col. 2 # 3; page 8 col. 2 – see artistic, 25% activity completion] to provide a clear statement for critically path estimates and accomplishment. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of Limousin, Higgins and Martin and include displaying **risk**

Art Unit: 3628

number to display a clear visual management chart with probability of accomplishments.

Re. Claim 10, claim 10 is substantially same as claim 2, therefore claim 10 is rejected with same rational as claim 2.

Re. Claim 17, claim 17 is substantially same as claim 2, therefore claim 17 is rejected with same rational as claim 2.

Re. Claim 25, claim 25 is substantially same as claim 2, therefore claim 25 is rejected with same rational as claim 2.

2. Applicant's arguments (01/05/06) with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T. Dass whose telephone number is 571-272-6793. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on 571-272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harish T Dass
Examiner
Art Unit 3628



3/19/06